PRAIRIE COUNTY FINAL RATIO STUDY REPORT September 15, 2015

COUNTY SUMMARY								
PROPERTY CLASSIFICATION	ASSESSED VALUE	PROPERTY CLASS RATIO	ESTIMATED MARKET VALUE	TOTAL COUNTY RATIO				
REAL ESTATE (RESIDENTIAL)	30,791,532	19.01	161,983,965					
REAL ESTATE (COMMERCIAL)	6,528,730	20.31	32,143,814					
REAL ESTATE (VACANT)	35,646,470	19.40	183,744,691					
TOTAL REAL ESTATE	72,966,732	19.31	377,872,470					
REAL ESTATE AGRICULTURAL VALUE	26,298,526	20.00	131,492,630					
PERSONAL (AUTO/OTHER)	26,424,751	20.01	132,085,235					
BUSINESS PERSONAL	6,510,480	20.00	32,552,400					
GRAND TOTAL	132,200,489		674,002,735	19.61				

OVERALL RATIO STUDY								
PROPERTY CLASSIFICATION			Median Ratio	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	COD	PRD	
REAL ESTATE	RESIDENTIAL IMPROVED	48	19.01	17.91	19.89	15.90	1.08	
COMMERCIAL IMPROVED		11	20.31	13.26	28.60	27.70	1.16	
	15	19.40	18.50	20.11	12.90	1.05		
AGRICULTURAL	98	20.00	20.00	20.00	4.80	0.97		
PERSONAL (AUTO/OTH	HER)	40	20.01					
BUSINESS PERSONAL		20	20.00	20.00	20.00	5.00	1.01	

^{*}Although the commercial improved COD of 27.70 exceeds the standard of 25.00 for commercial improved properties in counties with less than 50,000 parcels; it is below the critical value of 33.83 required to conclude non-compliance at the 95% confidence level for a sample containing (11) sales.

	RATIO STUDY BREAKDOWN BY MARKET AREA								
MARKET AREA	PROPERTY CLASSIFICATION	Count	Median Ratio	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	COD	PRD		
Prairi	RESIDENTIAL IMPROVED	48	19.01	17.91	19.89	15.90	1.08		
T TOTAL	VACANT LAND	15	19.40	18.50	20.11	12.90	1.05		
	RESIDENTIAL IMPROVED								
	RESIDENTIAL IMPROVED								
	VACANT LAND								
	RESIDENTIAL IMPROVED								
	VACANT LAND								
	RESIDENTIAL IMPROVED								
	VACANT LAND								
	RESIDENTIAL IMPROVED								
	VACANT LAND								
	RESIDENTIAL IMPROVED								
	VACANT LAND								

	RATIO STUDY BREAKDOWN BY CITY									
RATIO STRATIFICATION		RESIDENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS		VACANT LAND		COMMERCIAL/IND IMPROVEMENTS		AGRICULTURAL		INESS SONAL
	COUNT	RATIO	COUNT	RATIO	COUNT	RATIO	COUNT	RATIO	COUNT	RATIO
CITY										
Biscoe	0		0		3	28.35	0		0	
Des Arc	10	17.33	5	19.33	1	17.53	0		9	20.00
DeValls Bluff	5	19.07	0		2	19.41	0		2	20.00
Hazen	12	18.68	1	19.73	2	20.93	0		9	20.00
Rural	20	19.48	9	19.43	3	20.57	98	20.00	0	
Ulm	1	18.29	0		0		0		0	

RATIO STUDY BREAKDOWN BY SCHOOL DISTRICT										
RATIO STRATIFICATION		DENTIAL VACANT LAND COMMERCIAL/IND IMPROVEMENTS			AGRICULTURAL		BUSINESS PERSONAL			
	COUNT	RATIO	COUNT	RATIO	COUNT	RATIO	COUNT	RATIO	COUNT	RATIO
SCHOOL DISTRICT										
Beebe	0		0		0		19	20.00	0	
Carlisle	0		0		0		18	20.00	0	
Clarendon	0		0		0		18	20.00	0	
Des Arc	19	18.867	12	19.37	3	20.567	21	20.00	9	20.00
Hazen	29	19.071	3	19.73	8	19.413	22	20.00	11	20.00

Prairie County 4 of 7 2015 Final Ratio Study

OTHER REAL ESTATE ANALYSIS

PROPERTY TYPE CODES					
Property Type	Count				
AB Agri Bldg Only	11				
Al Agri Improved	940				
AM Agri Miscellaneous	336				
AV Agri Vacant	5,477				
CA Commercial/Agri Vacant	18				
CB Commercial Bldg Only	34				
CG Commercial/Agri Improved	41				
CI Commercial Improved	283				
CM Commercial Miscellaneous	21				
CP Commercial MH Park	18				
CR Commercial/Residential	7				
CT Comm Transitional Land	1				
CV Commercial Vacant	70				
IA Industrial/Agri Vacant	1				
IG Industrial/Agri Improved	1				
II Industrial Improved	4				
IV Industrial Vacant	1				
MH Mobile Home Only	403				
PS Public Service	13				
RB Residential Bldg Only	85				
RI Residential Improved	2,807				
RM Residential Miscellaneous	183				
RV Residential Vacant	1,586				

D	DEED TYPE CODES							
Deed Type	Count	Deed Type	Count					
Blank	Blank 118		1					
AD	7	LD	17					
AF	29	LS	1					
AM	2	LW	2					
BD	155	MD	4					
BS	18	MH	5					
CA	1	MN	34					
CC	10	MO	7					
CD	24	MS	37					
CF	1	PC	5					
CP	31	QC	916					
CR	105	QD	1					
СТ	44	QT	6					
DA	1	QV	3					
DB	3	RC	2					
DC	16	RD	197					
DP	2	SD	1					
EA	64	SW	99					
EC	1	TD	158					
ET	1	TI	32					
EX	34	TR	2					
FA	7	WD	1,097					
FD	134	WE	1					
GR	1							

VALIDATION (CODES
Validation Code	Count
Blank	2,640
Al	6
AL	370
AP	40
AS	12
CS	24
CT	20
CV	34
DT	13
ES	9
FC	8
FI	9
FM	8
FS	9
IS	1
LF	1
MH	27
MU	2
PI	12
PP	5
RL	55
TR	1
UV	23
VA	5
VS	103

Residential Improved	# of total parcels # of sold parcels	2,388 372
	# of Neighborhoods Avg # of parcels per neighborhood Avg # of sales per neighborhood	13 184 29
	# of Market Areas Avg # of parcels per market area Avg # of sales per market area	1 2,388 372
Vacant Land	# of total parcels # of sold parcels	1,562 192
	# of Neighborhoods Avg # of parcels per neighborhood Avg # of sales per neighborhood	20 78 10
	# of Market Areas Avg # of parcels per market area Avg # of sales per market area	1 1,562 192
Commercial Improved	# of total parcels # of sold parcels	271 62
	# of Neighborhoods Avg # of parcels per neighborhood Avg # of sales per neighborhood	8 34 8
	# of Market Areas Avg # of parcels per market area Avg # of sales per market area	1 271 62

^{*}Market Area counts and Neighborhood counts are based on distinct codes presented in the county data extract. These counts may not truly represent actual market areas and/or neighborhoods if the appraiser used combinations of these codes.

Prairie County 6 of 7 2015 Final Ratio Study

^{*}Counts are based on data before statistical trimming takes place for the ratio study.

Sold vs. Unsold Analysis (Real Estate)							
		Parcel Count	Median % Change	Mean % Change	Significance Level	Comments	
Residential Improved	Unsold Sold Difference	1,791 42	100.71% 102.48% 1.77%	98.95% 102.37% 3.42%	89.50%	Pass - No meaningful difference found between sold and unsold parcel.	
Commercial Improved	Unsold Sold Difference	181 7	93.78% 97.01% 3.23%	94.85% 93.22% 1.63%	25.80%	Pass - No meaningful difference found between sold and unsold parcel.	
Vacant Land	Unsold Sold Difference	1,196 11	100.00% 101.75% 1.75%	100.86% 109.30% 8.44%	55.00%	Pass - No meaningful difference found between sold and unsold parcel.	

^{*}Differences of 5% or more in value change between sold and unsold parcels that are significant at or above the 95% confidence level can indicate meaningful differences in the appraisal of sold and unsold parcels. Larger differences (10% or more depending on the number of sales and data distributions) indicate unacceptable differences.

Prairie County 7 of 7 2015 Final Ratio Study